

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,498	KIRCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Gordon	1743	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Brian R. Gordon.

(3) _____.

 (2) Larry Crane.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Crane that the appeal brief filed December 12, 2003, had been considered and that dependent claims 6-8, 12-13, 19-21, 23, and 28-29 would be indicated as objected to claims. The examiner presented the option of amending the independent claims to include any of the objected to limitations in order to allow the the claims. Mr. Crane informed the examiner that at the time the client does not want to amend the claims to include any of the objected to limitations. .